educational, and resource management functions as peers and partners with various persons, institutions and organizations. The no-action alternative would continue the present course of action for the monument, which would not meet the legislative mandate as it would provide only minimal research and education capabilities and no research center or museum. The minimum requirements alternative (alternative 1) would minimally meet the legislative mandate with most research and education functions completely dependent on sources from outside the National Part Service, and a research center and museum constructed and operated at a limited and superficial level.

Major resource impact topics that are analyzed for the proposed action and alternatives include natural and cultural resources, National Park Service programs, socioeconomics, facilities and infrastructure, access, and visitor experience.

The official responsible for a decision on the proposed action is the Field Director, Pacific West Area, National Park Service.

Dated: November 3, 1995.

William C. Walters,

Deputy Field Director, Pacific West Area, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 95-29185 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

Mississippi River Corridor Study Commission

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets the schedule for the forthcoming meeting of the Mississippi River Corridor Study Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463).

MEETING DATE AND TIME: January 9, 1996, 12 noon until 5 p.m., January 10, 1996, 8 a.m. until 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Radisson Hotel Saint Paul, 350 Market Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

This business meeting will be open to the public. Space and facilities to accommodate members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The Chairman will permit attendees to address the Commission, but may restrict the length of presentations. An agenda will be available from the National Park Service, Midwest Field Area, one week prior to the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan M. Hutchings, Assistant Field Director, Planning, Legislation, and WASO Coordination, National Park Service, Midwest Field Area, 1709 Jackson Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102, or call 402–221–3082.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Mississippi River Corridor Study Commission was established by Public Law 101–398, September 29, 1990.

Dated: November 14, 1995.

William W. Schenk,

Field Director, Midwest Field Area.

[FR Doc. 95–29186 Filed 11–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

[OJP Number 1071]

Meeting of the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

November 22, 1995.

AGENCY: Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention will take place in the District of Columbia, beginning at 2 p.m. on Friday, December 15, 1995, and ending at 4:30 p.m. on December 15, 1995. This advisory committee, chartered as the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, will meet at the United States Department of Justice, located at 10th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Conference Room 5111, Washington, DC 20530. The Coordinating Council established pursuant to section 3(2)(A) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2), will meet to carry out its advisory functions under section 206 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended.

This meeting will be open to the public. The public is advised that it must enter the building via the Constitution Avenue Visitors' Center. For security reasons, members of the public who are attending the meeting must contact the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) by close of business December 8, 1995. The point of contact at OJJDP is Lutricia Key who can be reached at (202) 307–5911. The public is further

advised that a pictured identification is required to enter the building.
Shav Bilchik.

Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

[FR Doc. 95–29097 Filed 11–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

[Docket No. LOC 96-1]

Notice of Item Inspection Pilot Program

AGENCY: Library of Congress.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces further security measures being instituted by the Library of Congress for the protection of its collections. The notice specifically deals with the examination of high-risk collections before and after they are used by members of the public. The final rules on Reading Rooms and service to the collections were published in the Federal Register on July 5, 1995.

DATE: November 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Johnnie M. Barksdale, Regulations Officer, Office of the General Counsel, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. 20540–1050. Telephone No. (202) 707– 1593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the authority of 2 U.S.C. 136, the Librarian of Congress is authorized to make rules and regulations for the government of the Library and for the protection of its property.

Notice Pursuant to Final Rules on Reading Rooms and Service to the Collections—Item Inspection Pilot Program

Notice is hereby given that, on November 27, 1995, in order to further secure the Library's collections, the Library of Congress will institute an Item Inspection Pilot Program. The Library will examine and record the condition of items from its high-risk collections before and after they are used by members of the public in the Library's reading rooms. The reader may be required to surrender his/her Libraryissued User Card while using a particular high-risk item. The Library Police shall investigate instances when Library staff believe that the item may have been intentionally damaged by a reader. The Library will retain the records of the condition inspections in a file for security purposes.

The objective of this pilot program is to ensure that the Library's high-risk

and often irreplaceable collections are protected from loss or desecration.

Dated: November 22, 1995.

Approved by:

James H. Billington,

The Librarian of Congress.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 95\text{--}29173\ Filed\ 11\text{--}28\text{--}95;\ 8\text{:}45\ am]$

BILLING CODE 1410-04-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-237, 50-249, 50-254, and 50-265]

Commonwealth Edison Company and Midamerican Energy Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DRP– 19, DRP–25, DRP–29, and DRP–30 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in Grundy County, Illinois and Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Dixon County, Illinois.

The proposed amendment would close out additional open items identified in the NRC staff's review of the upgrade of the Dresden and Quad Cities Technical Specifications (TS) to the Standard Technical Specifications (STS) contained in NUREG-0123. The Technical Specification Upgrade Program (TSUP) is not a complete adaption of the STS. The TS upgrade focuses on (1) integrating additional information such as equipment operability requirements during shutdown conditions, (2) clarifying requirements such as limiting conditions for operation and action statements utilizing STS terminology, (3) deleting superseded requirements and modifications to the TS based on the licensee's responses to Generic Letter (GL), and (4) relocating specific items to more appropriate TS locations. The November 14, 1995, application proposed to close out all open items identified during the NRC's review as noted in previous NRC staff Safety Evaluations for previously provided submittals regarding the TSUP project.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because:

In general, the proposed amendment represents the conversion of current requirements to a more generic format, or the addition of requirements which are based on the current safety analysis. Implementation of these changes will provide increased reliability of equipment assumed to operate in the current safety analysis, or provide continued assurance that specified parameters remain within their acceptance limits, and as such, will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

Some of the proposed changes represent minor curtailments of the current requirements which are based on generic guidance or previously approved provisions for other stations. The proposed amendment for Dresden and Quad Cities Station's Technical Specifications are based on STS guidelines or later operating BWR plants NRC accepted changes. Any deviations from STS requirements do not significantly increase the probability or consequences of any previously evaluated accidents for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations. The proposed amendment is consistent with the current safety analyses and has been previously determined to represent sufficient requirements for the assurance and reliability of equipment assumed to operate in the safety analysis, or provide continued assurance that specified parameters remain within their acceptance limits. As such, these changes will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

The associated systems related to this proposed amendment are not assumed in any safety analysis to initiate any accident sequence for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations; therefore, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not increased by the proposed amendment. In addition, the proposed surveillance requirements for the proposed amendments to these systems are generally more prescriptive than the current requirements specified within the Technical

Specifications. The additional surveillance requirements improve the reliability and availability of all affected systems and therefore, reduce the consequences of any accident previously evaluated as the probability of the systems related to the TSUP open items outlined within the proposed Technical Specifications performing their intended function is increased by the additional surveillances.

The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated because:

In general, the proposed amendment represents the conversion of current requirements to a more generic format, the addition of requirements which are based on the current safety analysis, and some minor curtailments of the current requirements which are based on generic guidance or previously approved provisions for other stations. These changes do not involve revisions to the design of the station. Some of the changes may involve revision in the operation of the station; however, these provide additional restrictions which are in accordance with the current safety analysis, or are to provide for additional testing or surveillances which will not introduce new failure mechanisms beyond those already considered in the current safety analyses.

The proposed amendment for Dresden and Quad Cities Station's Technical Specification is based on STS guidelines or later operating BWR plants' NRC accepted changes. The proposed amendment has been reviewed for acceptability at the Dresden and Quad Cities Nuclear Power Stations considering similarity of system or component design versus the STS or later operating BWRs.

Any deviations from STS requirements do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident previously evaluated for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations. No new modes of operation are introduced by the proposed changes. Surveillance requirements are changed to reflect improvements in technique, frequency of performance or operating experience at later plants. Proposed changes to action statements in many places add requirements that are not in the present technical specifications. The proposed changes maintain at least the present level of operability. Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The associated systems related to this proposed amendment are not assumed in any safety analysis to initiate any accident sequence for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations. In addition, the proposed surveillance requirements for affected systems associated with the TSUP open items are generally more prescriptive than the current requirements specified within the Technical Specifications; therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because:

In general, the proposed amendment represents the conversion of current